

# **EXHIBIT 6**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION

B.F., et al., )  
 )  
 Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 v. ) No. 4:12-CV-1760-CAS  
 )  
 ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC., et al., )  
 )  
 Defendants. )

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES A. SHAW  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

MAY 19, 2016

**APPEARANCES:**

For Plaintiffs: Daniel A. Raniere, Esq.  
Justin M. Durel, Esq.  
**AUBUCHON, RANIERE & PANZERI, PC**

John T. Boundas, Esq.  
John Eddie Williams, Jr., Esq.  
Brian A. Abramson, Esq.  
Margot G. Trevino, Esq.  
**WILLIAM KHERKHER**

George Erick Rosemond  
**ROSEMOND LAW, P.C.**

For Defendants: Dan H. Ball, Esq.  
Stefan A. Mallen, Esq.  
**BRYAN CAVE LLP**

Kathleen S. Hardway, Esq.  
Paul F. Strain, Esq.  
James C. Fraser, Esq.  
Stephen E. Marshall, Esq.  
**VENABLE LLP**

REPORTED BY: Gayle D. Madden, CSR, RDR, CRR  
United States District Court  
111 South Tenth Street, Third Floor  
St. Louis, MO 63102 (314) 244-7987

1                   THE COURT: You got it?

2                   MR. BOUNDAS: I've got it.

3                   MR. BALL: Okay.

4                   THE COURT: Okay. I think that covers a number of  
5 things. So I think it, you know, pretty much covers No. 5  
6 also. We're not going to deal with this epilepsy in general  
7 other than studies that indicate birth defects post-'96.

8                   Okay. No. 6 here. Defendant wants to exclude  
9 evidence of the medical condition of the siblings that were  
10 born before Ms. Forbes started taking Depakote. Well, you  
11 know, if we're going to get into this whole genetic thing,  
12 that's denied. You know.

13                  No. 7. How many of these things do I still have a  
14 question mark by? Okay. Abbott seeks to exclude evidence  
15 relating to thalidomide, Accutane, other drugs that were  
16 pulled from the market. Defendant says these are irrelevant  
17 and will inflame the jury.

18                  Okay. Right now I don't see why, you know, the  
19 pulling of these other drugs is relevant.

20                  MR. WILLIAMS: May I?

21                  THE COURT: Go ahead.

22                  MR. WILLIAMS: John Eddie Williams. If I may address  
23 that, you're right. Pulling of the drugs has nothing to do  
24 with it. I don't care about that. In fact, they're still on  
25 the market. So they're wrong about that. The issue is -- and

1 you'll look at No. 8 -- Dr. Oakley, who is one of the premier  
2 teratologists in the world -- he has gotten all these lifetime  
3 awards. He was the head of the CDC. He was -- of the birth  
4 defect part. He was the top birth defect guy for the U.S.  
5 government for years. And Dr. Oakley will say that this drug,  
6 valproic acid, that causes these birth defects in the first 28  
7 days after conception -- this eminent, world-class person will  
8 say that in all of his studies that there are -- that -- that  
9 this valproic acid in Depakote is one of the three most potent  
10 human teratogens known to mankind, and the other two are  
11 thalidomide and Accutane. And the reason that's important is  
12 if you look in the PDR, the Physicians' Desk Reference, that  
13 has the warnings --

14 THE COURT: Yeah. Well, they've got Google now.

15 MR. WILLIAMS: That's exactly right. But you'll see  
16 that thalidomide and Accutane have far more powerful warnings  
17 than valproic acid. In fact, they have things with a circle  
18 and an "X" about their drug. They have programs that if you  
19 were to use their drug -- this is only women of childbearing  
20 age. It doesn't apply to you or me or women of not  
21 childbearing potential. They have programs that you have to  
22 enroll in, and you have to promise every month and every week  
23 or every month that you will not get pregnant. So the -- so  
24 it's absolutely relevant to look at what are the three  
25 powerful drugs --

1                   THE COURT: Well, that sounds relevant -- his  
2 testimony. Okay. I'm with you so far with that.

3                   MR. WILLIAMS: And what did the other manufacturers  
4 do? And what did they fail to do? That's the point to make.

5                   MR. STRAIN: If I may, Your Honor, then.

6                   THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mallen, go ahead.

7                   MR. STRAIN: Since counsel focused on opinion, I  
8 think the Court would want to know that in the expert reports  
9 of Dr. Oakley and Dr. Blume, their warning expert, they don't  
10 make the allegations about the comparison to thalidomide and  
11 Accutane. So the idea that it's relevant and important to the  
12 case is purely from the attorneys. Your Honor saw that in the  
13 briefing. They don't rely on anything Oakley said --  
14 Dr. Oakley said or Dr. Blume said. This is purely attorneys  
15 trying, after the expert reports, to use thalidomide and  
16 Accutane as points of relevance. Now, I --

17                   THE COURT: Okay. Let's hear about this. Let's hear  
18 about this, about this doesn't exist other than in your mind.

19                   MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Well, Your Honor, so the  
20 world-class expert that I'm talking about, Dr. Oakley --

21                   THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to dispute that he  
22 was world-class or no class, but what I'm trying to get to is  
23 just what Mr. Mallen said that this was not his opinion.

24                   MR. WILLIAMS: In supplemental reports filed, dated  
25 June 19th, 2015, he clearly states that it's one of the top

1 three drugs --

2 THE COURT: Fine.

3 MR. WILLIAMS: I'll read it.

4 THE COURT: Fine. Fine. Fine. Okay.

5 MR. BALL: Well, it's not in this case. That's not  
6 this case. That was filed in other cases.

7 MR. WILLIAMS: Let me finish.

8 MR. BALL: That's not this case.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

10 MR. BALL: After his deposition.

11 MR. WILLIAMS: So your cutoff date, Your Honor, was  
12 January, I think, 2nd or 21 of 2015. Since then, in these  
13 same cases with the same lawyers, we have found that response  
14 five times. Judge Ohmer -- it came in in Judge Ohmer's court,  
15 and then we supplemented. It was past your cutoff date, but  
16 they have deposed Dr. Oakley on this subject August 4th, 2015.

17 THE COURT: Fine. Okay. I got you. I got you. No  
18 surprise. So --

19 MR. WILLIAMS: None at all.

20 THE COURT: Well, what about -- is he -- is he making  
21 a --

22 MR. BALL: Can I address --

23 THE COURT: Hold on. I'm going to get back to you  
24 there.

25 Is he making a comparison -- so Mr. Mallen said he

1 wasn't making any comparison to these other drugs.

2 MR. WILLIAMS: Well, is he making a comparison? He  
3 makes the comparison in the sense that these are the three  
4 most dangerous drugs. And then when they say -- and then our  
5 expert on labeling can easily show what the labels were for  
6 these other two drugs, where they actually gave a real strong  
7 warning, and they had a program which --

8 THE COURT: Okay. That's enough. That sounds pretty  
9 good.

10 Okay. Who's up? Mr. Ball? Mr. Mallen?

11 MR. BALL: Yeah. I want to make sure. I want to  
12 make sure this is clear. They filed an expert report in this  
13 case, and I deposed Dr. Oakley in this case, and there was  
14 nothing in that report or this deposition in this case -- that  
15 we were relying upon -- about this top three in the whole PDR  
16 and that type of thing. That's point one. Just because they  
17 filed something --

18 THE COURT: Well, you know, but as I told him, I  
19 don't see -- you know, I mean, this has been done a number of  
20 times with you all. I don't see this as a surprise. I don't  
21 see how you're prejudiced.

22 MR. BALL: Okay. That's number one.

23 The second point is even more clear then. The second  
24 point is Dr. Blume, who is their warnings expert, has never  
25 submitted a report or a deposition saying, "You guys should do

1 what thalidomide and Accutane does in their warnings." Never  
2 done that. So that is a surprise.

3 THE COURT: Well, I don't know that there's going to  
4 be a "You should have done." Maybe he's just going to say  
5 that this is what these people do and this is what --

6 MR. BALL: But that has not been -- that has not been  
7 from this expert in any of this litigation.

8 THE COURT: Well, has there been any testimony by any  
9 expert as to what these three other drug labels say?

10 MR. BALL: No. No. They've mentioned them, that  
11 they're all -- can cause birth defects and that they're the  
12 top three most dangerous. One of them is for acne. One of  
13 them is for morning sickness. But none of their experts have  
14 come forward and said, "Here's the label with Accutane.  
15 Here's the label with thalidomide. Depakote doesn't have that  
16 kind of label." Nobody has done that in -- in -- from  
17 Dr. Blume or Dr. Oakley. And doggone it. We ought to be able  
18 to rely upon --

19 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

20 MR. BALL: Okay.

21 THE COURT: Stop beating a horse.

22 MR. BALL: Okay.

23 THE COURT: You know, you're gilding the lily. You  
24 know, I've got it. I got it.

25 MR. WILLIAMS: I've got the -- if the Court would

1 like to see it, I've got the --

2 THE COURT: I don't want -- you just tell me what it  
3 says. You know, you're an officer of the court. You don't  
4 want me to do one of them Trumps on you and call you a  
5 so-and-so somebody, do you? I mean, come on.

6 MR. WILLIAMS: No. I'm just pointing out, Judge. I  
7 think the jury can look at these two and see these programs  
8 and these warnings, and they should be entitled to look at the  
9 three most potent human teratogens and compare their  
10 conduct -- the other two's -- with Abbott, and it's going to  
11 be pretty strong, powerful evidence, Your Honor, of --

12 THE COURT: Well, you know, if you've not produced  
13 this before, you know, they're not on notice. I mean they're  
14 on notice that these are -- you know, these are just as strong  
15 drugs and have, you know --

16 MR. WILLIAMS: Well, they --

17 THE COURT: I mean maybe they cause similar kind of  
18 problems, but --

19 MR. BALL: She referenced 50 labels in her report, 50  
20 different drug labels, not these. And now we're supposed to  
21 defend against new labels that they've come up that have never  
22 been referenced in this? That's not right.

23 THE COURT: That's what I'm talking about. These  
24 labels. You can't just pop these things up.

25 MR. WILLIAMS: You're right, and they're on our

1 exhibit list, and they're in the supplemental report that has  
2 been filed in five cases, and he -- and Dr. Oakley has been  
3 deposed on --

4 THE COURT: Are you saying that this is no surprise  
5 again, that these labels have been presented previously?

6 MR. WILLIAMS: Absolutely. Absolutely, Your Honor.  
7 And let me -- I'm sorry. I lost my train of thought.

8 THE COURT: Don't worry. You've said enough.  
9 Go ahead, Mr. Ball.

10 MR. BALL: It's a surprise to us, Your Honor, that  
11 these labels -- it's not a surprise to us that they're going  
12 to talk about Accutane and thalidomide. Okay. We don't agree  
13 with it, but it's not a surprise. But it's a surprise to us  
14 that, all of a sudden, these labels are going to be shown to a  
15 jury because they haven't been shown to a jury in St. Louis or  
16 they haven't been shown to a jury in the Southern District of  
17 Illinois, and they're not referenced in their warnings  
18 expert's report as saying that this is the kind of label you  
19 should have used. That is a surprise to us, and we would have  
20 approached this differently if they had told us that's what  
21 they were going to do, and they haven't done that in this  
22 case.

23 THE COURT: Okay. What about that? "This is the  
24 kind of label you should have used."

25 MR. WILLIAMS: It's pretty clear. It's clear

1 evidence, and it's a clear comparison. It's made by a  
2 world-class expert who they deposed three times.

3 And if I may, Your Honor, Mr. Boundas and I got into  
4 this case late, and Mr. Raniere, who's been fighting this  
5 battle alone for a long time -- I don't -- I don't know if he  
6 knew about the update, but the important thing is they knew  
7 about the update.

8 THE COURT: Well, what is it? You know, Mr. Ball is  
9 talking specifically about notification of these labels, these  
10 particular labels. I'm not talking about update. Please.

11 MR. BALL: There's no opinion from Dr. Oakley in any  
12 of these cases that says, "You should have given the warning  
13 that's in the thalidomide label" or "You should have given the  
14 warning that's in the Accutane label." That's not in there.  
15 We didn't think we were defending that. We didn't.

16 MR. WILLIAMS: We're talking over each other because  
17 Dr. Oakley is not a labeling expert and will not be giving  
18 labeling opinions, but Dr. Oakley will compare what one does  
19 with a potent teratogen.

20 THE COURT: That's out. I think you needed to give  
21 them specific notice that this labeling was going to be  
22 subject to dispute and expert testimony.

23 MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, if I -- oh, just a point  
24 of clarification there. I know -- and I appreciate your  
25 ruling on the label, but Dr. Oakley, I assume, will be able to

1 testify about potent teratogens and that they're --

2 THE COURT: Yeah, they indicate they're on notice on  
3 that.

4 MR. WILLIAMS: -- it's one of the top three.

5 THE COURT: I don't have a problem with that.

6 So I guess that was No. 8. Let me --

7 MR. BALL: No.

8 has been ruled on. So I think we're down to No. 9.

9 THE COURT: 9. Okay.

10 LAW CLERK: Judge, can you clarify 8?

11 THE COURT: Oh, that was denied.

12 MR. BALL: Yeah.

13 THE COURT: He can testify that Depakote is among  
14 those three most teratogenic drugs.

15 LAW CLERK: And what is out is the labeling?

16 THE COURT: The labeling -- yeah -- issue is out.

17 Okay. Is there going to be any reference that  
18 Depakote is the most teratogenic drug of these three? Is that  
19 going to happen?

20 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor. I think that's what  
21 you just ruled.

22 MR. BALL: No. We're on No. 9.

23 THE COURT: No.

24 10 was that it was one of the three most, not it was  
25 the one, you know.

CERTIFICATE

I, Gayle D. Madden, Registered Diplomate Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, hereby certify that I am a duly appointed Official Court Reporter of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

I further certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the above-entitled case and that said transcript is a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that this transcript contains pages 1 through 59 inclusive.

Dated at St. Louis, Missouri, this 20th day of May, 2016.

---

/s/ Gayle D. Madden

GAYLE D. MADDEN, CSR, RDR, CRR

Official Court Reporter